

**High School Facilities Committee Meeting
May 11, 2009**

Attendees: Hal Lynde, Dr. Mohr, Sean Minuti, Rob Hardy, Chris Marion, Daryle Hillsgrove, Brenda Hobbs, Eleanor Burton, Dr. Bass, Bob Sherman

Absent: Brian Carton, Brian Mahoney

Guest: Bill Scanzani

- 1) Copies of maps from Marinace reviewed.
 - a) Brenda stated she had hoped more of the other two schools would have been shown.
 - b) Discussion on the approximately 13000 feet of wetland impacted. At a 10 to 1 ratio of mitigation would be 130,000 that would have to be made somewhere else.
 - c) The maps showed three areas identified as probable wetland impacts.
 - i) Approximately 1200 sq feet on Field Hockey
 - ii) Baseball field had 6000 foot impact
 - iii) Soccer field estimated 6000 foot impact.
 - d) Costs for mitigation and restoration were not clearly outlined in previous cost estimates?
 - e) Hal asked if we should ask that question of Marinace
- 2) Dr. Bass stated based on our initial review of these maps seems to be more restrictive on this site rather than less restrictive.
- 3) Septic discussion
 - a) Septic would have to be developed for additional student population and keep the existing septic system under waiver.
 - b) Bill Scanzani, resident provided information on background of the septic that it is under waiver currently with a maximum use.
 - c) Marinace discussed the septic issues in its email dated 5/8/09 where Marinace had some discussions with Meridian Land Services regarding options.
- 4) Limited space around the fields to have observers to sports games.
 - a) Hal Lynde- He asked Jeff McGowan to take an initial look at the development plan.
 - i) Concern about wetland set backs and that the town would only be able to address as part of the state permitting process.
 - ii) Driveway onto Willow is a concern.
 - iii) Upon initial review, Mr. McGowan felt it would be difficult to permit.
 - iv) Jeff McGowan expressed concern that flooding seems to occur more frequently than less frequently and he is concerned it would continue to increase.
 - b) Bill Scanzani indicated there has been more vegetation growth in some areas along Beaver brook and that will force the water further down hill.
 - c) Dr. Bass stated there seems to be a lot of things that would have to fall into place to make the plan work.
 - i) Dr. Bass to ask Marinace the question about costs.

- d) Original plan was a road around the baseball field to the soccer field. That can not be done under current mapping and transportation of equipment would have to be across the baseball field.
- 5) Sean Minuti
- a) Parking will be an issue because fewer sites. One discussion was to move fields and then parking could be expanded.
 - b) Daryle stated either way, we have to provide fields. If we move the fields we have to understand that is going to have cost and logistical issues that would have to be considered.
 - c) Our two options were Renovate/Add at current with all the fields here or New High School on New with all fields.
 - i) Rob Hardy made a motion to vote on two options.
 - ii) Chris Marion seconded the motion
 - iii) Sean asked if there was any additional discussion
 - (1) Chris expressed concern that we respected the discussions of people's votes.
 - (2) Eleanor requested the motion be repeated:
 - (a) Rob H motion to take a vote on the two options on the table for a high school solution for the town of Pelham.
 - (b) Seconded Chris Marion.
 - 1. Unanimous to take the vote.
- 6) Sean Minuti requested a vote on those in favor of the renovation plan/addition on the existing site – No votes in favor, No Votes agains
- 7) New site
- a) 6 in favor, BC 1 vote via proxy to Sean Minuti, Brian Mahoney, did not respond to request for vote. Sent to via email on Thursday.
 - b) 7 for New High School, (Chris, Rob, Sean, Hal, Bob S, Brian Cartin, Daryle Hillsgrove,) 1 abstain- Brenda Hobbs.
- 8) Discussion on recommending that additional land is acquired suitable for school district future purposes.
- a) Eleanor felt the group needed to really present the two options and what was our decision.
 - b) Daryle stated the presentation was developed in order to show how we got to our decision.
 - c) Chris stated she felt people would ask questions about how we got there and she wanted people to understand that the committee evaluated a lot of information in order to get to the decision.
- 9) Presentation Discussion
- a) Took out Tilt up Construction- After discussion the committee felt this may confuse the issue and this is something that the PSB could evaluate.
 - b) Brenda felt that the tilt up should be included because she was concerned that people would feel we only followed the recommendations of Marinace.
 - c) Hal felt we should state how many meetings we had to indicate how much time we had invested into reviewing information to make this recommendation.

- d) Daryle suggested making it known we would be willing to put on a separate presentation for the public with additional details if requested.
 - e) Dr. Bass suggested an overview of the time (# of meetings etc) to indicate the time invested by the committee.
- 10) Dr. Bass and Eleanor felt that the next PSB would be more appropriate to give the PSB time to review the information and be prepared for the discussion.
- 11) Eleanor wanted to make sure the committee had enough time for the presentation.
- a) Sean will introduce the committee and make a pre-amble with the recommendation and some information.
 - b) Chris will review the entire presentation.
 - i) Daryle- requested that PSB members send questions to the committee so a response can be prepared in advance for the meeting.
 - ii) Dr. Bass will ask the board to send questions when the presentation is sent to them for review.
 - iii) Sean will email Brian Mahoney and ask him to provide his vote.
 - c) Bob Sherman stated the Budget committee expressed interest in hearing the presentation.
- 12) Daryle will send a list of the documents and reference documents to Chris to be included.
- a) Population documents
 - b) Marinace emails.
 - c) Current Marinace maps
 - d) Items listed in end pages.
- 13) Reviewed presentation as a group and made changes based on discussions.
- a) If we can get done by Friday, we can send out as a separate packet for time to review it and then the remainder of the school board packet would be sent separately.
 - b) Request questions are returned by Friday before school board meeting so we can prepare responses.
- 14) Minutes:
- a) April 13th minutes- approved with minor edits,
 - b) April 20th approved with minor edits.

Respectfully Submitted. Daryle Hillsgrove